

Reactivity Study of Silicon Electrode Modified by Grafting Using Electrochemical Reduction of Diazonium Salts

¹Abdelkader Kaiber*, ¹Mohammed Cherkaoui, ²Jean-Noel Chazalviel, ²Francois Ozanam

¹Laboratoire de Matériaux, Electrochimie et Environnement (LMEE), Faculté des Sciences, Université Ibn Tofail, Kénitra, Morocco.

²Laboratoire de Physique de la Matière Condensée, Ecole polytechnique, Palaiseau, France. kaiber44@hotmail.fr*

(Received on 31st July 2013, accepted in revised form 7th January 2014)

Summary: The hydrogenated surface of silicon is less used in various fields because of the chemical instability of the surface oxidation; the researchers believe that in the same areas to modify the surface by direct *grafting* through the establishment of a covalent silicon-carbon from the reaction of a chemical species on a surface. Different *grafting* methods are discovered can be implemented for the preparation of grafted surfaces, the choice by the electrochemical reactions allows direct from the hydrogenated surface. We studied the formation of the phenyl layer by electrochemical reduction of aryl diazonium salts (BF_4^- , $\text{N}_2\text{-ph-OCH}_3$) on the electrode p-Si-H (111) in an aqueous medium (0.05M H_2SO_4 + HF 0.05M). The reduction of the organic layer is grafted confirmed by cyclic voltammetry peak around -0.3V/SCE. In-situ infrared spectroscopy (IR) analysis allows chemical functions present on the grafted to give the approach of this modification reaction mechanism surface.

Keywords: Hydrogenated Surface, Electrochemical *Grafting*, *Infra-red (IR)*.

Introduction

Surface chemistry in nanotechnology has been attracting increasing interest for several years since this branch of chemistry leads to the development of manufacturing facilities at the molecular size with an increasing level of complexity and organization. This encompasses the modification of conductive, semiconductor or insulator surfaces, a field which has been developing for several decades. It allows the functionalization of surfaces and opens interesting perspectives.

The direct functionalization of hydrogenated surfaces by *grafting of* organic species leads to the formation of dense organic layers and ordered [1,2], chemically stable (Si-C) find numerous applications in biology, electronics and optical [3,4], energy storage [5], sensor [6], Biomedical [7] or catalysis [8].

The Preparation of flat surfaces has been reported for the first time by Chabal and colleagues [9]. It is important to remove all traces of oxygen to produce a defect-free a surface [10,11].

In all cases, the modified surfaces are initially hydrogenated but the *grafting* operation is varied. Lewis [12] has made modifications to the silicon surface by halogenation (PCl_5) followed by reaction with Grignard reagents. The surface modification of SiH electrochemically [13] by reduction of haloalkanes By oxidation or reduction of

alkynes [14] By *grafting* of aromatic groups by reduction of diazonium ions [15,16]. The covalent modification of substrates is usually obtained by driving a radical electrogenerated [17]. Among the commonly used procedures [18], these include the formation of aryl radicals by reduction of diazonium salts. For a decade, This procedure has had remarkable success thanks to its compatibility with variety of functional groups [19] Anodically and in Grignard reagents [20,21].

The *grafting* of organic layer by electronic reduction of aryl diazonium salts was discovered in 1992 by Delmar, Hitmi, Pinson and Savéant [22]. This first article deals with the covalent modification of carbon surfaces by *grafting* of aryl groups from the electrochemical reduction of diazonium salts. This technique consists in reducing an aryl diazonium salt by cathodic current to aryl radicals [23]. The radicals thus formed may then be reacted with the surface and covalently forming an organic layer of aromatic groups substituted by a variety of groups R. This method of electrochemical *grafting* causes great interest today as it was then extended to other functionalized surfaces (silicon) [24-26].

In our study, we were interested in *grafting* tetrafluoroborate 4-methoxybenzene diazonium ($\text{C}_7\text{H}_7\text{N}_2\text{O}$, BF_4) on the surface of p-Si-H(111), were selected from aryl salts because of the simplicity of synthesis (reaction Quick and easy chemical in a single step from the corresponding amines [27-32] offering a wide choice of substituents in the para

position of the diazonium cation on the aromatic ring (-COOH, -OH, -NO₂, -NH₂, -Br) the diazonium salts can either be synthesized in organic medium (from NOBF₄) to form stable products which are then reduced by electrochemistry, be directly prepared in situ [33-38] in the electrochemical cell from an acid mixture containing the corresponding aniline as a strong oxidant and NaNO₂. Depending on the experimental conditions (substrate, solvent), The film thickness can range from monolayer aryl groups (<1 nm) to a few hundred nanometers thick.

The presence of the functions aromatic primary amine is essential for conversion to diazonium salt by a diazotization reaction in acid medium allows the electrochemical *grafting* of the entity that these functions by reduction of diazonium salts as shown by the work of Finch and Bélanger [39].

The *grafting* was carried out by electrochemical reduction of the monomer in an aqueous medium consisting of HF and H₂SO₄. The silicon surface as amended was characterized by cyclic voltammetry, IR spectroscopy in situ.

Results and Discussion

Anodic Behavior Interface p-Si/(HF, H₂SO₄)

Description of the Potential Intensity Curve

Modified surfaces may be interesting having good chemical stability and good electronic passivation (low concentration of electronic states in the band gap), or to locally modify the surface reactivity of the latter for treatment later (initiation of the formation of porous silicon and electropolishing).




Fig. 1: Voltammogram of an electrode of the p-type silicon (111) in a solution of HF 0.05M+ H₂SO₄ 0.05M, pH=3 and V_b=10mV/s.

The voltammogram of an electrode of the p-type silicon (111) in a solution of 0.05M HF + 0.05 M H₂SO₄ is represented by Fig. 1, Two current peaks are observed. At low potential, there is a rapid increase in current density, Reaching a maximum at J₁= 135.4 µA/cm². At than the first peak potential there is a rapid decrease in the current density to reach the value J₂ = 111.93µA/cm², followed by a broad peak intensity J₃ = 361.60µA/cm² and decreased again current to stabilize at J₄= 175.13µA/cm². We also note the existence of current oscillations at potentials near to 3V.

Area of Porous Silicon Formation

Porous silicon, as its name suggests, Is a crystalline sponge obtained by electrochemical etching of a silicon wafer in concentrated hydrofluoric acid environment (HF). The luminescent porous silicon can be characterized by high porosity (> 70%empty) with a very fine morphology (nano-sized pores and crystalline skeleton). For the anodic dissolution of silicon, as it is generally the case for metals, a polishing plateau follows the region of active dissolution, This potential range is located between the potential of the first peak and that for which the current reincreases with increasing potential [40,41]. In this region of low potential, the dissolution of a silicon atom uses two elementary charges (divalent dissolution) and the electrochemical reaction is accompanied by a release of hydrogen.

Fig. 2 shows a porous surface obtained after polarization of the electrode 0V in 0.05M HF +0.05M H₂SO₄ at pH = 3. On the other hand, in this potential range, there is formation of hydrogen, which is surprising because the hydrogen is obtained only in the cathode area. Indeed, the reaction mechanism of formation of porous silicon shows that the formation of hydrogen is obtained by anodic oxidation of divalent silicon by water [42].



Fig. 2: Photograph of a porous surface obtained after a polarization electrode of p-type Si a 0V, in a solution of 0.05M HF + H₂SO₄ 0.05 M.

Electropolishing Region

It is a region that unfolds the potential of the first peak to very high anodic potential. In this region, the decrease in the current density indicates the formation of a passivating oxide film on the surface of the electrode. The increase in earnings from the current intensity shows that the oxide layer is not stable in a wide potential range.

The dissolution reaction is limited by diffusion of the reactants in the solution to the surface of the silicon electrode according to reaction $\text{Si}^{2+} + 2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{Si}^{4+} + \text{H}_2$ and possibly with a path dismutation ($2\text{Si}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Si}^{4+} + \text{Si}$) [40]. The field of electropolishing is also characterized by the presence of oscillations of the current potential of 3V to neighborhoods; they appear when there is a non-linear correlation between the formation and dissolution of the oxide. The current oscillations and duration depend on the doping of silicon, the concentration of hydrofluoric acid HF, pH, Light intensity and the applied potential [43].

Surface Modification of p-Si (111)

A modification method for semiconductor surfaces consists in *grafting* an aromatic group derived from a diazonium salt carrying the said aromatic group. This modification leads to transferring a chemical function onto the surface and to improving the electronic quality and stability compared to the hydrogenated silicon surface, which is easily oxidized in air. Among the many methods of *grafting* published in the literature, we have chosen this electrochemical method, because the reaction is very fast: the reaction is activated by the potential, and it may be carried out in a few seconds, the exact duration depending on the scanning speed and ease of operation of the potentiostat apparatus.

Fig. 3 below shows the voltammograms obtained on an electrode p-Si (111) in the presence of 2 mM 4-methoxybenzene diazonium solution 0.05M $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 + 0.05\text{M}$ HF. In the absence of monomer (cycle a, dotted green), we observe no peak in the scanned area. After injection of the monomer (cycle b, solid line blue), we observe a peak (E_{p1}) of -0.315V/SCE corresponding to a current (J_{p1}) of -130 $\mu\text{A}/\text{cm}^2$ can be attributed to the reduction of the latter. In the second cycle of *grafting* (cycle c, solid line blue), the peak amplitude decreases substantially. This would suggest a blockage of the silicon surface by the grafted organic group and also shows that the grafted film has insulating properties as the current remains at zero during the scanning area [44].




Fig. 3: Voltammograms obtained on p-Si electrode (111). (a) curve without cathode precursor and in the presence of light, (b) and (c) are respectively the first and second cycle of *grafting* in the presence of 2mM méthoxybenzènediazonium in an electrolyte composed of 0.05M HF and 0.05M H_2SO_4 , (d) voltammogram in the presence of the precursor without light (no grafting). The scan rate is 10mV/s.

In the absence of light (cycle d, dotted line red), as expected, we observed no reaction. Indeed, light is a limiting parameter of our grafting monomer obtained by reduction since it is p-type silicon.

The integration of the area bounded by the reduction wave surface has allowed us to determine the amount of electricity needed for grafting which is about 1691 $\mu\text{C}/\text{cm}^2$ [45].

Characterization by Infrared Spectroscopy

The chemical state of the modified silicon surface was characterized by FTIR (Fourier Transform InfraRed Spectroscopy) which operates in transmission or reflection variable incidence. The IR spectra recorded at different electrochemical conditions are referred to a spectrum taken at open circuit potential before *grafting* or surface is hydrogenated (I_1). Then we record a second spectrum of the same sample modified by grafting (I_2). The results are presented in terms of absorbance as a function of wave number, which makes it easy to distinguish the changes in surface chemistry, the change in absorbance per reflection defined by:

$\Delta A = \frac{1}{N} L n \frac{I_1}{I_2}$ where N is the number of useful

reflections. We worked in p-polarized light. Indeed, when considering an infrared beam on a surface, the polarization state of the radiation determines the vibration of the surface species can be excited. In S-polarized light the infrared electric field is parallel to the surface on which the radiation is reflected. It is therefore not possible to detect the vibration of dipole moment perpendicular to the surface.

In P polarized light, The electric field has a component perpendicular infrared and a component parallel to the reflecting surface. It allows detecting vibration dipole moment parallel or perpendicular to the surface. Usually, on a surface (111) silicon, there is only one Si-H bond per silicon atom and it is perpendicular to the surface [46,47]. The stretching vibration of this band ($\nu_{Si-H} = 2083\text{cm}^{-1}$) is detected in p-polarization.

The IR in-situ hydrogenated silicon surface taken at open circuit potential spectrum is shown in Fig. 4. We note the occurrence of an absorption band around 2100cm^{-1} attributed to the vibration of the Si-H bond as shown by the corresponding zoom. We also note the presence of two bands associated with the presence of oxide in the negative direction of a decrease of the surface oxide, located to 1226cm^{-1} and 1062cm^{-1} and two absorption bands around 3313cm^{-1} and 1630cm^{-1} attributed to the vibration of the water molecule. We also found that s-polarization, the oxide strip located to 1226cm^{-1} disappears, which means that the chemical bond to the corresponding absorption band is perpendicular to the silicon surface.




Fig. 4: In-situ IR spectrum of the hydrogenated silicon surface made the open circuit potential to $-0.1\text{V}/\text{ECS}$ in an solution 0.05M HF and 0.05M H_2SO_4 in S polarization and P.

The presence of aromatic surface layers is demonstrated by infrared spectroscopy in ATR mode. The Fig. 5 shows the infrared spectrum in the $1000\text{-}1700\text{cm}^{-1}$ a SiPhOCH_3 electrochemically prepared surface area. Hydrogenated surface being taken as the reference, the presence of the negative at 2100cm^{-1} shows a peak polarization p disappearance of Si-H bonds to other profile. This negative peak appears superimposed on a much broader small positive peak corresponding to the Si-H unsubstituted (at most only half of the initial Si-H bonds are replaced by Si-C). Its area should be approximately equal to half the peak end and intense original. The appearance of other bonds at the surface as shown in the Fig below (appearance Tell other positive) results to the changing environment of the remaining Si-H bonds that are now interacting with the grafted molecules after modification.

The appearance of bands of symmetric and antisymmetric vibrations (Fig. 6) of Ph-O-CH₃ bonds located successively in 1030 and 1247cm^{-1} in the presence of light, after the first cycle of potential scan, we see the emergence of several infrared absorption bands grouped in the appendix below, assigned to the grafted molecule.




Fig. 5: IR spectrum of a silicon electrode after modification from methoxybenzenediazonium 2mM in 0.05M HF and 0.05M H_2SO_4 at $E = -0.1\text{V}$. The reference spectrum is recorded at open circuit potential before the first cycle of grafting spectrum.

In-situ IR spectra show the evolution of the chemical state of the silicon surface during the electrochemical *grafting* process.

Analysis of in-situ IR spectrum (Fig. 6) indicates that the precursor used does not lead to a *grafting* open circuit in the absence of light (curve a). Curves (c) and (d) represent successively the second and third round of *grafting*. For each curve, the reference spectrum is recorded immediately before the voltammetric cycle. They indicate that the modified surface is blocked at the end of the first cycle of *grafting* (curve b).




Fig. 6: In-situ IR spectrum of a silicon electrode before and after modification by methoxybenzene diazonium 2 mM in 0.05 M HF and H₂SO₄ 0.05 M. (a) IR spectrum in an open circuit in the presence of precursor and without light - 0.1V. (b) IR spectrum open to 0.1V after the first round of *grafting* open circuit. (c) and (d) are successively 3rd and 4th cycle *grafting*.

Surface Reactions

Synthesis Aryldiazonium Salt

The aryl or alkyldiazonium salts has group -N₂⁺ and against anion. These compounds are known for their unstable nature and their high reactivity. In some cases, they break down readily at room temperature and usually their synthesis is carried out at low temperatures. The aromatic diazonium salts, stabilized by resonance, are more stable than aliphatic diazonium salts are very unstable or even non-existent. The aromatic diazonium salts were discovered in 1858 by Johann Peter Griess [48] (1828-1886) and their use in synthesis rapidly


democratized [49]. They are commonly prepared from the corresponding arylamine in the presence of a source of nitrite (1.1) [50]. When the reaction is carried out in aqueous medium, the diazonium salt is generally prepared by using sodium nitrite in a strong acid medium [51].




When the arylamine is weakly basic, this procedure diazotization is more difficult to implement because the balance between aniline and ammonium conjugate is shifted in favor of the amine, as the case may be insoluble in the aqueous medium. In this case, the diazotization is possible mid concentrated sulfuric acid (90-96 °) [52].

The most diazonium salts are used as intermediates in organic synthesis. Their preparation anhydrous organic medium is often a prerequisite essential.

The Alternative procedures use nitrosyl or as nitrosyl halide (1.2), is prepared in-situ by the action of alkyl nitrite by the method of Doyle (1.3) [53].



In 1887, Fischer and Heppé found a method of introduction of the diazonium salt of an aromatic compound by the action of acid nitreux as shown in reaction (1.4) [54]. This method is called "direct" because it performs three steps nitrosation, reduction and diazotization in a single operation. The first step of nitrosation is followed by reduction of the nitroso group in diazonium.



This method was then revised by Bamberger [55] and Tedder [56]. The main drawback of this


strategy is that it only works with electron-rich aromatic rings.

Electrochemical Reactions of the Electrode

The Modification of the hydrogenated silicon surface with aryl diazonium salts is generally carried out by dipping the material in the aqueous medium of aryl diazonium salts with a supporting electrolyte, and then applying a reducing potential of

the surface [57]. Layering functionality desired substituted phenyl R follows a process as described in Fig. 8, The aryl diazonium cation is electrochemically reduced corresponding with the cleavage of dinitrogen diazonium group, generating then bonded to the surface of the electrode [58] the radical aryl radical adjacent.

The observation can be represented by the simplified three-step reactions:



Methoxybenzene when the radical is grafted to the silicon surface, the orientation of bonds Φ (phenyl) $-OCH_3$ is modified from the other radicals contain aryl groups such as NO_2 and $\Phi-Br$ the bond $\Phi-Br$ remains perpendicular to the surface of silicon.

The aryl radicals are also susceptible to reacting with the aryl groups bonded to the surface at the ortho to para-position substituted group, resulting in the formation of multilayer structures with polyphenylene [59,60] as shown in Fig. 8-a, another multi-layered way grow, as shown in Fig. 8-b.




Fig. 7: Schematic representation of the modeling *grafting* of the silicon substrate by diazonium salts.




Fig. 8: Mechanism of polyphenylene multi-layers formation by aryl diazonium salt chemistry.

The infrared measurements used to assess the rate of *grafting* by calculating the ratio between the intensity of the Si-H absorption band before and after modification. This ratio is about 60%. *Grafting* is probably limited by steric hindrance. Thus, the initially hydrogenated silicon surface is completely covered with Si-H bonds by electrochemical modification against after about half of the silicon-hydrogen bonds are substituted and replaced by Si-C bonds.

Experimental

The silicon samples were prepared from p-type (10¹⁹ cm⁻³) single crystal wafers of (111) orientation. These substrates were cleaned in acidic oxidant [sodium dichromate Na₂CrO₇ in H₂SO₄] to remove surface impurities (organic traces). After rinsing with distilled water, the silicon substrate was dried with nitrogen, and immersed for a few seconds in hydrofluoric acid (HF 40%) in order to eliminate

the oxide layer formed. The cleaning cycle can be repeated several times until a perfectly waterproof surface is obtained, indicating a termination by Si-H bonds (Fig. 9).

Two electrochemical cells were used: one with an immobile electrode and one with a rotating-disk electrode (RDE). These cells were both made of (transparent) polystyrene. In the first cell, the Si sample was pressed against an aperture in the first cell, the an elastomeric seal embedded in a thin protective polytrifluoroethylene encapsulation (active electrode area 1cm²). This plastic presents good chemical resistance to hydrofluoric acid. In the RDE cell, the Si sample was pressed against the back contact using scotch tape with a 4-mm diameter hole (active area 0,8 cm²). For both cells, the counter electrode consisted of a platinum wire and the ECS saturated calomel electrode is the reference electrode, the potential relative to the normal hydrogen electrode (ENH), and 0.242V at 25 °C. Nitrogen

bubbling through the electrolytic bath was used to remove oxygen molecules dissolved in the medium. In order to allow for a reduction reaction at the surface of p-type silicon, the electrode was illuminated by a quartz halogen lamp through the cell wall. The standard level of illumination used throughout this work corresponds to an “efficient” photon flux (i.e., photons generating collected photocarriers) of $\sim 3 \times 10^{17} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

A quasi-ohmic contact on the silicon electrode was obtained by pressing a copper foil against its back which had previously been coated with a silver paint layer.

For the voltammetric and electrochemical impedance studies, a PGZ 100 potentiostat computer controlled with a software application “master Voltalab 4.0” was used. Infrared spectra were obtained using a Bomem MB 100 Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer.

The silicon surface was probed in multiple internal reflection geometry (ATR) at an incidence angle of 45° , by using a home-made external compartment equipped with a liquid-nitrogen cooled mercury cadmium telluride (MCT) detector [61]. Before starting the infrared measurements, the chamber of the spectrometer was purged with nitrogen to remove CO_2 and water vapor whose presence disturbs the weak signals detected. The IR spectra recorded at different electrochemical conditions are referred to a spectrum taken at open-circuit potential before *grafting*, when the surface is hydrogenated. The results are presented in terms of absorbance versus wave number, which allows to easily identifying modifications in surface chemistry.




Fig. 9: Chemical treatment of silicon substrate.

Conclusion

The hydrogenated silicon surface p-type and orientation (111) was amended by the electrochemical reduction of methoxybenzene diazonium. This is confirmed by the observation of the peak around -0.3V/SCE obtained during the potential sweep. The resulting film seems insulator, in fact during the second scan, the current becomes practically zero. The grafting was confirmed by the appearance of bonds of symmetric and antisymmetric vibrations of Ph-O-CH_3 bonds when measuring infrared spectroscopy. IR also determined the rate of grafting which is about 60%. The latter is limited by steric hindrance.

Appendix a: Indexing of the Bonds

Wavenumber(cm^{-1})	Frequency Allocations
1247	$\nu_{\text{as}}\text{Ph-O-C}$
1177	rock CH_3
1030	$\nu_{\text{s}}\text{Ph-O-C}$
1444 ; 1464 ; 1514	Ph-OCH_3
1630	H_2O
2100	Si-H

Acknowledgements

This study was conducted with support from the Hubert Curien Partnership program Volubilis (project PHC MA/10/230).

References

1. J. M. Buriak, *Chemical Review*, **102**, 1271 (2002).
2. D. D. M. Wayner and Wolkow, *Journal of the Chemical Society-Perkin transactions*, **2**, 23 (2002).
3. S. Fellah, F. Ozanam, J. N. Chazalviel, J. Vigneron and A. Etcheberry, *Langmuir*, **18**, 5851 (2002).
4. P. Allongue, C. Henry de Villeneuve, G. Cherouvrier, R. Cortès and M. C. Bernard, *Journal Electroanal Chemistry*, **161**, 550 (2003).
5. L. J. Fu, H. Liu, C. Li, Y. P. Wu, E. Rahm, R. Holze and H. Q. Wu, *Solid State Sciences*, **8**, 113 (2006).
6. C. Bourdillon, M. Delamar, A. Demaille, R. Hitmi, J. Moiroux and J. Pinson, *Journal Electroanal Chemistry*, **336**, 113 (1992); B. P. Corgier, C. A. Marquette and L. J. Blum, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **127**, 18328 (2005).

7. A. V. Jovanovic, J. A. Flint, M. Varshney, T. E. Morey, D. M. Dennis and R. S. Duran, *Biomacromolecules*, **7**, 945 (2006).
8. M. Bron, P. Bogdanoff, S. Fiechter and H. Tributsch, *Journal Electroanal Chemistry*, **578**, 339 (2005).
9. G. S. Higashi, Y. J. Chabal, G. W. Trucks and K. Raghavachari, *Applied Physics Letters*, **12**, 656 (1990).
10. C. P. Wade, *Applied Physics Letters*, **71**, 1679 (1998).
11. M. R. Linford, P. Fenter, P. M. Eisenberger and C. E. D. Chidsey, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **117**, 3145 (1995).
12. A. Bansal, X. Li, I. Lauer mann, N. S. I. Yi and W. H. Weinberg, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **118**, 7225 (1996).
13. C. Gurtner, A. W. Wun and M. J. Saylor, *Angewandte. Chemie International Edition*, **38**, 1966 (1999).
14. E. G. Robins, M. P. Stewart and J. M. Buriak, *Chemical Commun*, 2479 (1999).
15. C. Henry de Villeneuve, J. Pinson, M. C. Bernard and P. Allongue, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, **101**, 2415 (1997).
16. P. Allongue, C. Henry de Villeneuve, J. Pinson, F. Ozanam, J. N. Chazalviel and X. Wallart, *Journal of Electrochimica Acta*, **43**, 2791 (1998).
17. A. J. Downard, *Electroanalysis*, **12**, 1085 (2000); D. M. Wayner and R. A. A. Wolkow, *Journal of the Chemical Society Perkin Trans. 2*, 23 (2002); S. Palacin, C. Bureau, J. Charlier, G. Deniau, B. Mouanda, P. Viel, *Chem PhysChem*, **5**, 1468 (2004).
18. C. P. Andrieux, F. Gonzalez and J. M. Savéant, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **119**, 4292 (1997); K. Malmos, J. Iruthayaraj, S. U. Pedersen, K. Daasbjerg, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **131**, 13926 (2009).
19. P. Allongue, M. Delamar, B. Desbat, O. Fagebaume, R. Hitmi, P. Pinson and J. M. Savéant, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **119**, 201 (1997).
20. T. Dubois, F. Ozanam and J. N. Chazalviel, *Electrochemical Society Proceedings*, **97**, 296 (1997).
21. A. Fidélis, F. Ozanam and J. N. Chazalviel, *Surface Science*, **17**, 444 (2000).
22. M. Delmar, R. Hitmi, R. J. M. Savéant, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **114**, 5883 (1992).
23. A. J. Fry, *In the Chemistry Diazonium and Diazo Groups Part I*, S. Patai, Ed, (John Wiley and Sons New York) p. 489 (1978).
24. C. Combellas, F. Kanoufi, J. Pinson and F. I. Podvorica, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2008, **25**. C. Henry de Villeneuve, J. Pinson, M. C. Bernard, P. Allongue, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, **101**, 2415 (1997).
25. P. Allongue, C. Henry de Villeneuve and J. Pinson, *Electrochimica Acta*, **45**, 3241 (2000).
26. C. Combellas, F. Kanoufi, J. Pinson and F. I. Podvorica, *Langmuir*, **21**, 280 (2005).
27. C. Saby, B. Ortiz, G. Y. Champagne and D. Bélanger, *Langmuir*, **13**, 6805 (1997).
28. J. L. Bahr, J. Yang, D. V. Kosynkin, M. J. Bronikowski, R. E. Smalley and J. M. Tour, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **123**, 6536 (2001).
29. B. L. Hurley and R. L. McCreery, *Journal Electrochemical Society*, **151**, B252 (2004).
30. G. Schmildt, S. Gallon, S. Esnouf, J. P. Bourgoïn and P. Chenevier, *Journal of the Chemistry European*, **15**, 2101 (2009).
31. M. P. Doyle and W. J. Bryker, *Journal of Organic Chemistry*, **44**, 1572 (1979).
32. T. Breton and D. Belanger, *Langmuir*, **24**, 8711 (2008).
33. S. Baranton and D. Belanger, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, **109**, 24401 (2005).
34. M. Pandurangappa, N. S. Lawrence and R. G. Compton, *Analyst*, **127**, 1568 (2002).
35. J. Lyskawa and D. Bélanger, *Chemistry of Material*, **18**, 4755 (2006).
36. G. Chamoulaud and D. Belanger, *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, **111**, 7501 (2007).
37. M. Toupin and D. Belanger, *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, **111**, 5394 (2007).
38. D. Bélanger and J. Pinson, *Chemical Society Review*, **40**, 3995 (2011).
39. R. Memming and G. Schwandt, *Surface Science*, **4**, 109 (1966).
40. X. G. Zhang, S. D. Collins and R. L. Smith, *Journal of Electrochemical Society*, **136**, 1561 (1989).
41. M. J. Eddowes, *Journal of Electroanal Chemistry*, **280**, 297 (1990).
42. H. J. Lewerenz and M. Aggour, *Journal of Electroanal Chemistry*, **351**, 159 (1993).
43. F. Ait El Hadj, A. Amiar, M. Cherkaoui, J. N. Chazalviel and F. Ozanam, *Journal of Electrochimica Acta*, **70**, 318 (2012).
44. A. Amiar, F. Ait El Hadj, M. Cherkaoui, J. N. Chazalviel and F. Ozanam, *Journal of the Physical Chemical News*, **46**, 120 (2009).
45. Y. J. Chabal, G. S. Higashi, K. Raghavachari and V. A. Burrows, *Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology A: Vacuum, Surfaces, and Films*, **7**, 2104 (1989).
46. G. S. Higashi, Y. J. Chabal, G. W. Trucks and K. Raghavachari, *Applied Physics Letters*, **56**, 656 (1990).

47. P. Griess, *Journal of Chemical Society*, **18**, 298 (1865).
48. R. J. Lindsay, In *Comprehensive Organic, S. D. Barton, W. D. Ollis and I. O. Sutherland, Editions, Pergamon Press: Oxford*, **2**, 154 (1979); Zollinger, H. *Diazo Chemistry I: Aromatic and Heteroaromatic Compounds*, John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1994; *The Chemistry of Diazo Groups*, Patai, S, Ed, (Wiley: New York) (1978).
49. *Voigt's Textbook of practical Organic Chemistry*, 5th ed, Revised by B. S. Fumiss, A. J. Hannaford, P. W. G. Smith, A. R. Tatchell, Eds, (Longman: Singapore) (1989); G. Balz, G. Schiemann, *Berichte*, **60**, 1186 (1927); M. F. W. Dunker, E. B. Starkey and G. L. Jenkins, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **58**, 2308 (1936); A. Rae, *Organic Reaction*, **5**, 193 (1949); M. P. Doyle and W. Bryker, *Journal of Organic Chemistry*, **44**, 1572 (1979); S. Sengupta and S. K. Sadhukhan, *Organic Synthesis*, **10**, 263 (2004).
50. A. Maresca, F. Carta, D. Vullo, A. Scozzafava and C. T. Supuran, *Bioorganic Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, **19**, 4929 (2009); B. Haag, Z. Peng, P. Knochel, *Organic Letters*, **11**, 4270 (2009); A. M. Lord, M. F. Mahon, M. D. Llyod and M. D. Theadgill, *Journal of the Medicinal Chemistry*, **52**, 868 (2009); Z. Zhu, Q. Li, Q. Zeng, Z. Li, J. Qin and C. Ye, *Journal Dyes and Pigments*, **78**, 199 (2008); O. Güzel, A. Innocenti, A. Scozzafava, A. Salman, S. Parkkila, F. I. Podvorica, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **130**, 8576 (2008).
51. H. A. J. Schotissen, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **55**, 4531 (1933); R. B. Sandin, T. L. Cairns, *Organic Synthesis*, **2**, Coll Vol. 19, 81, 604 (1943); F. D. Gunstone, S. H. Tucher, *Organic Synthesis*, **4**, coll. Vol. 32, 23, 160 (1963).
52. M. P. Doyle, J. R. R. Bosch, P. G. Seites, *Journal of Organic Chemistry*, **43**, 4120 (1978).
53. O. Fischer and E. Hepp, *Berichte der Deutschen Chemischen Gesellschaft*, **19**, 2991 (1887).
54. E. Bamberger, *Berichte der Deutschen Chemischen Gesellschaft*, **28**, 1218 (1895); E. Bamberger, *Berichte der Deutschen Chemischen Gesellschaft*, **51**, 634 (1918).
55. J. M. Tedder. *Journal of Chemical Society*, 4003 (1957); J. M. Tedder and G. Theaker, *Journal of the Chemical Society*, 4008 (1957); J. M. Tedder, *Journal of America Chemical Society*, **79**, 6090 (1957); J. M. Tedder, *Tetrahedron*, **1**, 270 (1957).
56. C. Saby, B. Ortiz, G. Y. Champagne and D. Belanger, *Langmuir*, **13**, 6805 (1997).
57. J. Pinson and F. Podvorica, *Chemical Society Reviews*, **34**, 429 (2005).
58. A. Adenier, C. Combellas, F. kanoufi, J. Pinson and F. I. Podvorica, *Chemistry of Materials*, **18**, 2021 (2006).
59. C. Combellas, F. kanoufi, J. Pinson and F. I. Podvorica, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **130**, 8576 (2008).
60. J. N. Chazalviel, B. H. Ern , F. Maroun and F. Ozanam, *Journal of Electroanal Chemical*, **509**, 108 (2001).